

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

09/06/2007

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 040894-5940 7994 10/615,067 07/09/2003 Toshifumi Kojima 9629 7590 09/06/2007 **EXAMINER** MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP LAM, CATHY FONG FONG 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1775 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office Action Summary		10/615,067		KOJIMA ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Cathy Lam		1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified abo - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING DA under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period vended period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this colo (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
 Responsive to commit This action is FINAL. Since this application closed in accordance 	2b) <u></u> This	action is non-fi	ormal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4)	n(s) is/are withdray allowed. 7 is/are rejected. objected to.	wn from conside			
Application Papers	•				
	n is/are: a) accest that any objection to the cheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) old old old old old old old old old ol	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, <i>,</i>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)	4) <u></u>	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/615,067

Art Unit: 1775

In view of the amendment and remarks filed on June 07, 2007, the pending claims continue to be unpatentable as following:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 5-6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Yamamoto et al (US 6916873).

Yamamoto discloses a liquid thermosetting resin composition that is used for filling via holes and/or through holes in printed wiring boards (col 1 L 14-18).

The printed wiring boards comprise insulating layers, via holes and/or through holes, and conductive circuit patterns (col 1 L 39-45). The via holes and/or through holes are formed in the thickness of the insulating layers and plated with copper. The thermosetting resin composition is used to fill the via holes for providing conductive connection between the conductive circuit patterns (col 10 L 55-60 & Figs. 1(a)-1(g)).

The liquid thermosetting resin composition is comprised of (A) an epoxy resin,
(B) a curing catalyst, (C) a filler and (D) a coupling agent (col 2 L 63-65 & col 3 L 6-8).

The curing catalyst (B) can be dicyandiamide (col 6 L 64 & col 7 L 17-18). The filler (C) are inorganic fillers which can either be conductive or non-conductive particles (col 8 L 10-16). Depending on the type of fillers used, the average filler size is 1-2 μm for spherical fine filler and 4-10 μm for ground filler (col 7 L 64-col 8 L 4).

The coupling agent (D) can be a silane coupling agent which comprises an urea end group (col 9 L 6-11).

The prior art is silent about the dicyandiamide is for reducing deterioration in adhesive strength between the resin composition and the conductor layer. Since

Yamamoto teaches the same dicyandiamide as the present invention, it would be inherent that Yamamoto's dicyandiamide curing catalyst possesses the same function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 7, 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (US 6916873).

Yamamoto teaches the present invention but is silent about the size of the via hole or through hole, the dicyandiamide is in powder format and the specific type of urea compound used.

In view of Yamamoto's teaching, one skill in the art would choose a desired via hole size because choosing a workable size is just a matter of design choice.

Regarding top the dicyandiamide curing agent in powders, dendrites or flakes format, since applicant has not stated any advantages of using such, the examiner is taking the position that the prior art (even in different physical format) would perform the same job.

Regarding to the specific type of urea compound, the examiner is taking the position that one skill in the art would choose the claimed urea compounds because finding a workable material involves only routine experimentations.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on June 07, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant in the remarks traverses the art rejections and raises the following issues:

Application/Control Number: 10/615,067

4---

Art Unit: 1775

- A. The silane coupling agent of Yamamoto does not correspond to the claimed curing catalyst. In contrast, the present invention is directed to the filler particles may be subjected to surface treatment with a silane coupling agent.
- B. The silane coupling agent is a liquid, whereas the claimed curing catalyst is used to cured the resin and urea is powder.

In respond to the above issues:

- A. The silane coupling agent in Yamamoto was NOT used as a curing catalyst. The silane coupling agent was used to enhance the wettability of the filler in the liquid thermosetting resin (col 5 L 34-41). The coupling agent can either be added into the liquid composition or onto the surface of the filler as surface pretreatment (col 8 L 58-62).
- B. The silane coupling agent disclosed in Yamamoto are organic compound, it improves the wettability of the filler and decreases the viscosity of the thermosetting resin composition (col 9 L 6-14 & col 5 L 36-41). Clearly, the silane coupling agent is a liquid. There is no clear description in the specification that the urea is a powder.

In respond to applicant's issues, Yamamoto continues to anticipate and/or obvious over the present invention. Thus, the art rejections sustain.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1775

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Cathy Lam

Primary Examiner

Cathy fam

Art Unit 1775

cfl

August 30, 2007